

Lymphoid neoplasms in a South African HIV endemic setting categorised according to the WHO-HAEM5

Lillian Andera

Division of Clinical Haematology, Department of Medicine, University of Cape Town and Groote Schuur Hospital











Background

Lymphomas are prevalent haematolymphoid tumours in SSA and they are impacted by the HIV epidemic.

To our knowledge, there is no high-quality, clinically validated, prospectively maintained, lymphoma registry in South Africa.

New classification systems:

- WHO-HAEM5
- ICC

Require increasingly sophisticated diagnostics - with limited availability in the local context.

Aims

In this study, a robust registry with universally accepted hierarchical taxonomy of lymphoid neoplasms was established (UCT HREC R024/2018)

- to capture and subtype lymphoma
- to generate high quality descriptive real-world data
- alert to trends in high impact varieties

GSH HPR Established 2018 (n=24 996) All lymphoma patients Dx & Rx Recruitment 2005-2020 10.1% (2 516) Relapsed/Refractory cases from other centres **Excluded** (n=117) Inclusion of Dx prior 2017 Incomplete Dx results from prior Reclassified reports from research studies into Reviewed private labs IHC stains, WHO-HAEM4R completed karyotype, FISH, (n= 523) (n=25) EBER-ish (n=230) *Concurrent Dx (n=13) **Excluded** Lacked HIV status (n=2) NHL unspecified (n=21) Histiocytic & Dendritic cell disorders (n=8) Reclassified to AML Blastic NK-cell n=1) Dx standardised WHO-HAEM5 *Low-grade cases not further analysed 9.4% (2 354)

Methods

Results

Overall reclassification rate to WHO-HAEM5 25.9% (609)

HIV prevalence 33.1% EBV prevalence 32.7%

Differences of note between WHO-HAEM5 and ICC:

- tlL 44 (1.9%)
- IDD 957 (40.7%)
- HGBL with MYC and BCL6 rearrangements 1 (0.04%)

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of lymphoma patients diagnosed at GSH between 2005-2020 (WHO-HAEM5)

, , ,	· ·		`
	Total No. (%)	HIV- (%)	HIV+ (%)
Lymphoma Cohort	2354	1575	779
Sex Males	1222 (51.9)	822 (52.2)	400 (51.4)
Females	1132 (48.1)	753 (47.8)	379 (48.7)
Age (years), median (IQR)	47.6 (35.0-62.0)	56.6 (41.0-67.3)	38.3 (32.5-45.3)
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	1891 (80.3)	1265 (80.3)	626 (80.4)
Tumour-like lesions with B-cell predominance (MCD/IgG4)	77 (3.2)	7 (0.5)	70 (9.0)
Mature B cell			
Pre-neoplastic/neoplastic small lymphocytic proliferations*	203 (8.6)	199 (12.6)	4 (0.5)
Splenic B-cell lymphomas/ leukaemias (HCL/SMZL/SBLPN)	36 (1.5)	36 (2.3)	-
Lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma	20 (0.8)	20 (1.3)	-
Marginal zone lymphoma	66 (2.8)	63 (4.0)	3 (0.4)
Follicular lymphoma	154 (6.5)	153 (9.7)	1 (0.1)
Primary cutaneous follicle centre lymphoma	1 (0.004)	1 (0.1)	-
Mantle cell lymphoma	47 (2.0)	45 (2.9)	2 (0.3)
Transformations of indolent B-cell lymphomas	44 (1.9)	41 (2.6)	3 (0.4)
Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, NOS	588 (25.0)	415 (26.3)	173 (22.2)
T-cell/histiocyte-rich large B-cell lymphoma	17 (0.7)	15 (1.0)	2 (0.3)
EBV-positive DLBCL	49 (2.1)	16 (1.0)	33 (4.2)
Plasmablastic lymphoma	95 (4.0)	7 (0.4)	88 (11.3)
Primary large B-cell lymphoma of immune-privileged sites	24 (1.0)	17 (1.1)	7 (0.9)
Intravascular large B-cell lymphoma	1 (0.004)	1 (0.1)	-
Primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma	24 (1.0)	24 (1.5)	-
Mediastinal grey zone lymphoma	3 (0.1)	3 (0.2)	-
High-grade B-cell lymphoma, NOS	50 (2.1)	11 (0.7)	39 (5.0)
Burkitt lymphoma	194 (8.2)	23 (1.5)	171 (22.0)
KSHV/HHV8-associated B-cell lymphoid lymphomas (PEL)	6 (0.2)	1 (0.1)	5 (0.6)
Lymphoid proliferations and lymphomas associated with IDD	10 (0.4)	10 (0.7)	-
Mature T/NK cell			
T-cell prolymphocytic leukaemia	5 (0.2)	5 (0.3)	-
T-large granular lymphocytic leukaemia	2 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	1 (0.1)
NK-large granular lymphocytic leukaemia	1 (0.004)	1 (0.1)	-
Adult T-cell lymphoma/leukaemia (HTLV-1-associated)	2 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	1 (0.1)
Mycosis fungoides/ Sezary Syndrome	49 (2.0)	48 (3.1)	1 (0.1)
Primary cutaneous T-cell lymphoid proliferations/ lymphomas	10 (0.4)	9 (0.6)	1 (0.1)
Intestinal T/ NK-cell lymphoid proliferations/lymphomas	1 (0.004)	1 (0.1)	-
Hepatosplenic T-cell lymphoma	5 (0.2)	5 (0.3)	-
Anaplastic large cell lymphoma	39 (1.7)	28 (1.8)	11 (1.4)
Nodal T-follicular helper (TFH) cell lymphoma	13 (0.6)	12 (0.8)	1 (0.1)
EBV-positive NK-cell and T-cell lymphomas	9 (0.3)	6 (0.4)	3 (0.4)
Other peripheral T-cell lymphomas, unspecified	46 (1.9)	40 (2.5)	6 (0.8)
Hodgkin lymphoma	463 (19.7)	310 (19.7)	153 (19.6)
Classic Hodgkin lymphoma	436 (18.5)	284 (18.0)	152 (19.5)
Nodular lymphocyte predominant Hodgkin lymphoma	27 (1.2)	26 (1.7)	1 (0.1)

HIV-related subset

ART status:

- -ART naïve [n=334,(42.9%)]
- -ART suppressed [n=285, (36.6%)]
- -ART unsuppressed [n=160, (20.5%)]

PLWHIV were significantly younger, median 38.3 years (IQR 32.5-45.3) vs HIV-neg patients, median 56.6 years (IQR 41.0-67.3); *P*<0.001.

Most frequent HIV-associated subtypes were:

- -DLBCL, NOS 22.2%,
- -BL 22.0%
- -CHL19.5%
- -PBL 11.3%

Discussion

Highlights the complexities/challenges to implement updated Dx systems.

Relatively high reclassification rate reflects temporal changes in the multimodal diagnostics of haematological malignancies and in-house operational challenges.

Validates the incorporation of HIV testing into the diagnostic algorithm in HIV endemic regions.

Consolidation of IDD and tlL by WHO-HAEM5 created conceptual groups that generate data conflicts for cancer registries and may require reconsideration in future WHO-HAEM iterations.

Improvement in cost-effective diagnostic & classification algorithms for LMIC.

Supports development of regional specialised cancer registries and research.

Conclusions

By auditing our diagnostic pathway:

- Generated data that validates algorithms tailored to low-resource HIV endemic settings.
- Increased accuracy of downstream subtyping with prospective entries.
- Identified instances of DHL that may have benefitted from FISH.

Future considerations for new classification systems to include HIV testing in diagnostic pathways.

Potential to positively impact patient outcomes by guiding timely and focused treatment planning.

References

- 1.Turner JJ, Morton LM, Linet MS et al. InterLymph hierarchical classification of lymphoid neoplasms for epidemiologic research based on the WHO classification (2008): update and future directions. Blood. 2010;116(20):e90-8.
- 2. Alaggio R, Amador C, Anagnostopoulos I et al. The 5th edition of the World Health Organization Classification of Haematolymphoid Tumours: Lymphoid Neoplasms. Leukemia. 2022;36(7):1720-48.
- 3. Jaffe ES, Harris NL, Stein H et al. WHO Pathology and Genetics of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues: IARC Press, Lyon, France; 2001.
- 4. Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Harris NL et al. WHO Classification of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues. Lyon, France: IARC Press; 2008.
- 5. Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Harris NL et al. WHO Classification of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues, Revised 4th ed. Lyon, France: IARC Press; 2017.
- 6. Campo E, Jaffe ES, Cook JR et al. The International Consensus Classification of Mature Lymphoid Neoplasms: A Report from the Clinical Advisory Committee. Blood. 2022.