Performance of CAD4TB Artificial Intelligence Technology in TB Screening Programs Among the Adult Population in South Africa and Lesotho

Nonhlanhla Nzimande

Human Sciences Research Council/Sweetwaters Pietermaritzburg/South Africa 27 September 2024

Keelin Murphy³, Klaus Reither^{4, 5}, Shannon Bosman², Irene Ayakaka⁶, Tracy R. Glass^{4, 5}, Fiona Vanobberghen^{4,5}& Jabulani Ncayiyana¹

¹Division of Public Health Medicine, School of Nursing and Public Health, College of Health Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa. ²Center for Community Based Research, Human Sciences Research Council, Pietermaritzburg, South Africa. ³Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ⁴Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Allschwil, Switzerland. ⁵University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland. ⁶Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, United Kingdom.





Introduction

• TB is still a significant public health concern:

10.6 million developed TB in 2022
1.3 million TB-related deaths
Over 4 million undiagnosed cases

- Low and middle-income countries most vulnerable
- Urgency to reduce diagnostic gap
- WHO TPP recommendation of triage tests (90% sensitivity & 70% specificity)
- In 2021, WHO endorsed the use of Al products for chest X-rays in TB screening

CAD4TB



TB Triage+ study



Rationale



	TB negative 6 236, (95.59%)	TB positive 288, (4.41%)	Total (6 524)
	N, (%)	N, (%)	N, (%)
Age (yrs.) Mean, SD	49, 18.63	48, 17.61	<mark>49, 18.59</mark>
Age group			
15-19	306 (4.91)	7 (2.43)	313 (4.80)
20-29	797 (12.78)	44 (15.28)	841 (12.89)
30-39	1 005 (16.12)	<mark>59 (20.49)</mark>	1 064 (16.31)
40-49	959 (15.38)	50 (17.36)	1 009 (15.47)
50-59	1 096 (17.58)	40 (13.89)	1 136 (17.41)
60-69	1 104 (17.70)	47 (16.32)	1 151 (17.64)
70-79	664 (10.65)	32 (11.11)	696 (10.67)
80+	305 (4.89)	9 (3.12)	<mark>314 (4.81)</mark>
Sex			
Male	3 139 (50.34)	<mark>191 (66.32)</mark>	3 330 (51.04)
Female	3 097 (49.66)	97 (33.68)	3 194 (48.96)
Country			
South Africa	477 (7.65)	65 (22.57)	542 (8.31)
Lesotho	5 759 (92.35)	<mark>223 (77.43)</mark>	<mark>5 982 (91.69)</mark>
Study name			
TB Triage+	1 086 (17.42)	134 (46.53)	1 220 (18.70)
**LPS	5 150 (82.58)	154 (53.47)	<mark>5 304 (81.30)</mark>
HIV status			
HIV negative	<mark>4 075 (65.35)</mark>	143 (49.65)	4 218 (64.65)
HIV positive	1 673 (26.83)	122 (42.36)	1 795 (27.51)
Unknown	488 (7.83)	23 (7.99)	511 (7.83)
*Hardware			
H1	609 (9.77)	69 (23.96)	678 (10.39)
H2	477 (7.65)	65 (22.57)	542 (8.31)
Н3	<mark>1 842 (29.54)</mark>	48 (16.67)	1 890 (28.97)
H4	2 436 (39.06)	89 (30.90)	<mark>2 525 (38.70</mark>)
Н5	872 (13.98)	17 (5.90)	889 (13.63)
Prev TB			
No	5 146 (82.52)	<mark>230 (79.86)</mark>	5 376 (82.40)
Yes	1 090 (17.48)	58 (20.14)	1 148 (17.60)
CAD4TB			
*v6 -median, IQR	52.19, 17.84	76.47, 19.28	<mark>53.26, 18.59</mark>
*v7- median, IQR	20.03, 22.09	61.70, 27.37	<mark>21.87, 23.93</mark>

Participants' characteristics

**Hardware (hardware used in image acquisition)
H1= Canon Inc.: CXDI Control Software NE;
H2=Vieworks Co.,Ltd: FXRD-1717VA;

H3=Samsung Electronics: SDR-AGR40CW: SMD4343WS;

H4=Samsung Electronics: DGR-RN2N22/WR: SMD4343WS;

H5=SEDECAL DR: Copyright(C) SEDECAL Corp



Results



Threshold independent analysis

Threshold dependent analysis

v6- min 90% sens, 54% spec v6- min 70% spec, 83 sens

v7- min 90% sens, 65% spec v7- min 70% spec, 88% sens





Notable performance differences between age groups and previous history of TB



Notable performance differences between hardware used in image acquisition

****Hardware (hardware used in image acquisition)**

H1= Canon Inc.: CXDI Control Software NE; H2=Vieworks Co.,Ltd: FXRD-1717VA; H3=Samsung Electronics: SDR-AGR40CW: SMD4343WS; H4=Samsung Electronics: DGR-RN2N22/WR: SMD4343WS; H5=SEDECAL DR: Copyright(C) SEDECAL Corp



No performance difference between the subgroups



Conclusion

CAD4TB - effective TB screening tool in high TB-burdened and resourceconstrained settings

1. Performance (area under ROC)

- Version 7 shows improved performance
- Better in younger groups, better in groups with no history of TB
- Variability noted across different hardware (significant?)

2. Thresholds

The threshold needed for a particular level of sensitivity/specificity is very different for v6 and v7

3. Both versions did not meet the **WHO TPP – triage test** (attributable to variability in analysed data?)



Acknowledgements UNIVERSITY OF HSRC KWAZULU-NATAL INYUVESI Human Sciences YAKWAZULU-NATALI Research Council Swiss TPH

Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute

SOLID/R //ED

Radboudumc

